

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

*Filed in open Court
10/10/06 dle.*

POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC., a
Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR
INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware
corporation, and FAIRCHILD
SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION, a
Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 04-1371 JJF

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

INFRINGEMENT OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '876 PATENT

Infringement of the '876 Patent

1. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild has literally infringed the following claims of the '876 Patent? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations. A "NO" answer is a finding for Fairchild.)

Claim 1: YES x NO

2. If you answered "NO" as to any claim(s) in question 1, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild nevertheless infringes the claim(s) under the doctrine of equivalents? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations. A "NO" answer is a finding for Fairchild.)

Claim 1: YES NO

Willful Infringement of the '876 Patent

3. If you answered "YES" as to any claims for any of questions 1-2, do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Fairchild's infringement of the claim(s) was willful? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations. A "NO" answer is a finding for Fairchild.)

YES X NO

INFRINGEMENT OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '851 PATENT
Infringement of the '851 Patent

4. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild has literally infringed the following claims of the '851 Patent? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations. A "NO" answer is a finding for Fairchild.)

Claim 1: YES X NO

Claim 4: YES X NO

5. If you answered "NO" as to any claim(s) in question 4, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild nevertheless infringes the claim(s) under the doctrine of equivalents? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations. A "NO" answer is a finding for Fairchild.)

Claim 1: YES NO

Claim 4: YES NO

Willful Infringement of the '851 Patent

6. If you answered "YES" as to any claims for any of questions 4-5, do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Fairchild's infringement of the claim(s) was willful? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations. A "NO" answer is a finding for Fairchild.)

YES X NO

INFRINGEMENT OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '366 PATENT**Infringement of the '366 Patent**

7. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild has literally infringed the following claims of the '366 Patent? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations. A "NO" answer is a finding for Fairchild.)

Claim 9: YES X NO

Claim 14: YES X NO

8. If you answered "NO" as to any claim(s) in question 7, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild nevertheless infringes the claim(s) under the doctrine of equivalents? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations. A "NO" answer is a finding for Fairchild.)

Claim 9: YES NO

Claim 14: YES NO

Willful Infringement of the '366 Patent

9. If you answered "YES" as to any claims for any of questions 7-8, do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Fairchild's infringement of the claim(s) was willful? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations. A "NO" answer is a finding for Fairchild.)

YES X NO

INFRINGEMENT OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '075 PATENT

Infringement of the '075 Patent

10. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Fairchild has literally infringed the following claims of the '075 Patent? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations. A "NO" answer is a finding for Fairchild.)

Claim 1: YES X NO

Claim 5: YES X NO

Willful Infringement of the '075 Patent

11. If you answered "YES" as to any claims for question 10, do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Fairchild's infringement of the claim(s) was willful? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations. A "NO" answer is a finding for Fairchild.)

YES X NO

DAMAGES DUE TO POWER INTEGRATIONS

Damages for Infringement of the '876, '851, '366, and/or '075 Patents

12. If you have found that Fairchild has infringed at least one asserted claim from any of the '876, '851, '366, and/or '075 Patents, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Power Integrations suffered actual damages as a result of Fairchild's infringement? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations. A "NO" answer is a finding for Fairchild.)

YES X NO

13. If you answered "YES" to question 12, state the type and amount of damages you find Power Integrations to have proven by a preponderance of the evidence:

(A) Lost Profits from Lost Sales ('876 and/or '851 patent only):

\$ 14,981,828

(B) Past Lost Profits from Price Erosion: \$ 1,952,893

(C) Future Lost Profits from Price Erosion: \$ 13,018,379

(D1) Reasonable Royalty (in addition to Lost Profits from Lost Sales):

\$ 4,028,681 (or)

(D2) Reasonable Royalty (if no Lost Profits from Lost Sales):

TOTAL DAMAGES \$ 33,981,781

3. If you answered "YES" to question 12, please state the applicable royalty rates that should apply to each patent you found Fairchild infringed:

'876 Patent 15%

'851 Patent 15%

'366 Patent 15%

'075 Patent 15%

You must each sign this Verdict Form:

Dated: 10.10.06

[Signature] (foreperson) [Signature]

Judith Taylor

Gary Bradley

[Signature]

Frank S. Fletcher

Elizabeth Lee Roberts

Mr. B. J. J. J.